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Executive Summary

Methodist Rehabilitation Center (MRC), located in Jackson, Mississippi, helps people recover after a stroke, brain or spinal cord injury, post-traumatic and post-surgical orthopedic conditions, or chronic pain. MRC also provides long-term care for persons with severe disabilities. MRC opened its doors in 1975 to fulfill a vision of its founders who recognized Mississippi’s need for comprehensive medical rehabilitation services.

Methodist Rehabilitation Center serves people across the state of Mississippi, with the largest concentration of patients residing in the three-county Jackson metropolitan area. This broad service area is driven by two factors: The Jackson area is the largest hub for health care in the state, and MRC is the major provider of rehabilitation services across different areas of specialty. The community served by MRC includes adults and adolescents above 13 years of age of all socio-economic backgrounds, consistent with the demographics of the state.

For many years, MRC has conducted an annual community benefit assessment and presented a report to the center’s Board of Trustees. The reports demonstrated the various ways the institution fulfills its mission as a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit hospital. The mandatory Community Health Needs Assessment now allows Methodist Rehabilitation Center to formalize and expand this process.

This Community Health Needs Assessment has been an ongoing process since the completion of the previous two assessments in 2013 and 2016. The main input was provided by patients, employees and community representatives with expertise in public health and various not-for-profit organizations that serve low-income and disadvantaged populations. Additional information came from public databases, reports, and publications by state and national agencies. This Community Health Needs Assessment and Implementation Plan was approved by the MRC’s Board of Trustees at its annual meeting on May 23, 2019, with an effective date of July 1, 2019 (beginning of Fiscal Year 2020.)

Based on the adopted principles for prioritizing community health care needs, the following key priorities were identified:

1. Improve Access to Comprehensive Rehabilitation
2. Educate & Train Clinicians Internally and in the Community
3. Monitor Outcomes / Build Relationships Along the Continuum of Care

The Implementation Plan section describes goals identified within each key priority area over the next three years. The Community Health Needs Assessment and Implementation Plan document is available at MRC’s website www.methodistonline.org/community-health-needs-assessment.
Introduction

Mission Statement

“In response to the love of God, Methodist Rehabilitation Center is dedicated to the restoration and enhancement of the lives of those we serve. We are committed to the excellence and leadership in the delivery of comprehensive rehabilitation services.”

About Us

In 1975, Methodist Rehabilitation Center (MRC) opened its doors to fulfill a vision to provide comprehensive medical rehabilitation services for all Mississippians. The center was created by four visionary founders, led by the late Earl R. Wilson, who served as chairman of the board from the center’s inception until his death in 2000.

MRC’s primary facility is a seven-floor, 124-bed inpatient hospital located on the campus of The University of Mississippi Medical Center. The entire facility and clinical programs are designed specifically to help patients restore abilities lost to injury or illness. Patients of similar injury types are housed on the same floor and share a dedicated staff of nurses and therapists. This promotes specialized expertise among staff, and the patients are encouraged as they recover with others overcoming similar challenges.

In 2005, MRC opened Methodist Specialty Care Center, a 60-bed, long-term residential center for younger adults with severe disabilities. In addition, MRC operates numerous clinics across Mississippi and Northeast Louisiana to provide outpatient rehabilitation services.

Most all patients admitted to MRC’s main hospital are transferred from acute care hospitals located throughout Mississippi and the region. Besides providing inpatient and outpatient care, MRC serves the community through an array of outreach programs ranging from wheelchair sports clinics and competitions, monthly support groups, education events, as well as a clinical research program that allows patients to be part of research discoveries.

MRC is affiliated with the University of Mississippi Medical Center (UMMC) and serves as a teaching facility for students and residents. MRC is a founding member of UMMC’s Neuro Institute, established in 2016, to advance clinical care, research and education in three areas: stroke, addictions, and neurotrauma. Also, MRC serves as an internship site for undergraduate students from major universities in the state.
Community Served

Definition

MRC serves people across the entire state of Mississippi. Due to our geographic location, the majority of the community served resides in central Mississippi. This includes the city of Jackson and Hinds, Rankin and Madison counties, which is the state’s most populated area. Beyond this Tri-County area, MRC serves a significant number of people from other, contiguous counties within a ~100 mile radius. Such a widespread catchment area is driven by the fact that the Jackson area is the major hub for health care across the entire state and by recognition of MRC as the major provider of rehabilitation services (inpatient and outpatient) across different areas of specialty.

The target population served includes male and female adults and adolescents age 13 and older from ethnic and socio-economic backgrounds that are representative of the state.

Our specialty area further defines the community served to those in need of comprehensive medical rehabilitation for various neurologic and orthopedic conditions, primarily acquired brain and spinal cord injuries or diseases, post-traumatic/post-surgical orthopedic conditions, chronic pain and long-term specialty care for the most severely disabled.

Description

Mississippi Demographics

According to the U.S. Census Bureau’s 2017 population estimates, the population of Mississippi is nearly 3 million (51% women, 49% men). The median age is 37 years (76% ≥18 years, 15% ≥65 years). Caucasians represent 59% of the population, African-Americans 38%, and Hispanics or Latinos 3%. The majority of households consist of married-couple families (45%) followed by single-parent families (23%). Among the people 25 or older, 83% have at least a high school diploma and 21% have a bachelor’s degree or higher.

The median household income is ~$42,000 ($57,700 nationally) and the median family income is ~$52,700 ($70,900 nationally). About 22% live below the federal poverty level (15% nationally) – mainly children under 18 years (30%) followed by adults 18 years and older (19%). In Mississippi 17.3% of households are enrolled in the supplemental nutrition assistance program (12.6% national level).

The population is expected to grow to about 3.16 million by 2020 and 3.23 million by 2025. The second and third largest increase is projected for Madison County (19%) and Rankin County (17%), which are part of MRC’s primary service area.
Mississippi Health Priorities

It is well known that Mississippi ranks among the lowest in the U.S. in overall health. The main health problems in adults are hypertension (40.8% prevalence), obesity (37.3%), and type 2 diabetes (13.6%). These lead to cardio-vascular diseases including stroke, the main cause of death in the state (30% compared to the national rate of 28% in 2017). Over the next 20 years, obesity is expected to contribute to over 400,000 of new cases of type 2 diabetes, over 750,000 new cases of hypertension and over 800,000 new cases of coronary heart disease and stroke in Mississippi. In 2016, 33.5 percent of adults in Mississippi reported having a disability, compared to 24.6 percent nationally (CDC Disability and Health Data System, 2016).

Barriers to Health Care Access

The Community Need Index, developed by Dignity Health and Truven Health Analytics, reflects the barriers to health care access in a given community based on socio-economic indicators (income, ethnicity/language, education, insurance, and housing). An average score is assigned to each ZIP code, from 1.0 (lowest) to 5.0 (highest socioeconomic barriers), and the county score is comprised of the average score of the ZIP codes within the county. The latest available scores (2018) for Mississippi counties range from 3.0-4.9. The “highest need” (score 4.2-5.0) was projected for 39 counties with 746,000 people (25% of total population), “high need” (score 3.4-4.1) for 40 counties with 1.9 million people (64%), and “moderate need” (2.6-3.3) for the remaining 3 counties with 336,000 people (11%). The barriers accounted for by the Community Need Index also apply to the communities primarily served by MRC (figure).

Uninsured & Governmental Insurance

In 2017, 398,647 Mississipians (13.6%) are estimated to be without insurance. There are somewhat more uninsured men (52%) than women (48%). Most uninsured belong to the age group of 19-44 years, which accounts for 60% of all uninsured Mississipians. The rate of uninsured among African-Americans (16%) is higher than among Caucasians (12%). About 63% of all uninsured persons 26 years or older have a high school diploma or less and 52% of the entire uninsured Mississippi population are unmarried. In terms of household income, 37% of the uninsured earn less than $25,000, 31% between $25,000 and $49,999, 16% between $50,000 and $74,999, 8% between $75,000 and $99,999, and 8% earn $100,000 or over.

In 2015, it is estimated that 780,000 Mississipians (26%) received Medicaid benefits. In addition, about 560,000 Mississippi residents (19%) are Medicare beneficiaries, of whom almost 77,000 (14%) have elected to participate in a Medicare Advantage plan. In total, an estimated 45% of Mississippi’s population is receiving governmental insurance benefits.
Health Problems Leading to MRC Admissions

Health problems that lead to admission to MRC result from trauma or diseases affecting the nervous system (stroke, spinal cord injury, brain injury) or musculo-skeletal system (amputation, broken bone, joint replacement). According to the most recent Mississippi Trauma Care System Report (October – December 2017, prepared February 2018), trauma remains the leading cause of death for Mississippians age 1 to 44, with motor vehicle accidents accounting for 46% of all external causes of death. In addition, Mississippi ranks third in the nation for unintentional injury deaths. The population sustaining a trauma increased three-fold from 2000 (8,500) to 2010 (25,500). As a result, many people are admitted for rehabilitation after traumatic brain or spinal cord injury or broken or lost limb. The number of post-traumatic cases admitted to MRC is likely to increase due to a decline in mortality and the population growth.

The most recent Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention and Control State Plan (2004-2013) reveals that a high prevalence of diabetes, obesity, and hypertension translates into a high rate of stroke in Mississippi. It is estimated that each year about 5,000 Mississippian suffer a stroke for the first time and another 2,000 a recurrent stroke. Stroke occurs twice more often in Mississippian with income of less than $25,000 (~7%) than in those who earn more than $25,000 (~3.5%).

Stroke is the fifth leading cause of death in Mississippi (5% in 2013) and occurs at a rate of 50 per 100,000 people. Although mortality from stroke is on the decline, it is highest in several counties north and south of Hinds County where MRC is located.

Stroke leaves ~2,000 Mississippians disabled each year. The percent living with stroke (~4%) has been steady the past 7 years. Better emergency care and survival means more disabled people in need of comprehensive rehabilitation services.

Demographics of People Admitted to MRC

In fiscal year 2018 (July 1, 2017- June 30, 2018), 1,314 Mississippian were admitted to MRC inpatient rehabilitation. Of those, 46% were women and 54% men; 49% were Caucasians and 49% African-American; 42% were married, 29% never married, 13% widowed, 13% divorced, and 3% separated. These demographics are representative of the entire state of Mississippi.

The people admitted to MRC represent 69 of 82 Mississippi counties (84%). Before admission, 46% resided in three counties of the Jackson Metro area and an additional 45% within a radius of 120 miles. The most frequent reasons for admission were stroke (33%), orthopedic (e.g. leg fracture or joint implants) (26%), traumatic or non-traumatic spinal cord injury (15%), and traumatic or non-traumatic brain injury (13%). These conditions represent 86% of all admissions. While Medicare remains our most common payer source (43%) upon admission, 13% of people admitted in fiscal year 2018 were uninsured.

Rehabilitation facilities outside of MRC primary service area

Other providers of Level 1 comprehensive rehabilitation outside of MRC primary service area are in the northern counties (De Soto, Washington, Lee) and southern counties (Forrest, Harrison). They are two or more hours driving distance from MRC and account for a combined 64% of all licensed rehabilitation beds in the state (2018 State Health Plan, MS Department of Health).
Preceding CHNA/Implementation Plan

The previous CHNA was conducted in FY 2016 and implemented during FY2017-FY2019. Three priority areas were identified and these activities are described below.

1. **Health & Community Needs After Discharge from Inpatient Rehabilitation**  
   We monitored multiple facets of functional recovery and community integration at 3 months after discharge from inpatient rehabilitation. This was conducted by a telephone interview addressing the following areas: place of residence and community reintegration, change in health and independence, access to therapy and equipment, use of healthcare resources, and involvement in peer support.

2. **Access to & Utilization of Specialized Rehabilitation Services**  
   We assessed and promoted opportunities for maximizing rehabilitation potential by means of facilitating the acquisition of healthcare coverage, providing education on healthcare rights, advocating use of innovative technology and treatments, and increasing access to specialized outpatient services in the communities where the population growth is expected.

3. **Education & Training of Professionals Involved in Rehabilitation**  
   We elevated and modernized rehabilitation services in the state of Mississippi by improving education and training of professionals involved by serving as the clinical facility for Mississippi’s first PM&R (rehabilitation physician specialist) department and residency training at the University of Mississippi Medical Center. We also enhanced specialized education and training of professionals involved in rehabilitation nursing, physical/occupational/speech therapy, orthotics and prosthetics, and neuropsychology.

Throughout the previous cycle, MRC did not receive any written comments regarding our CHNA and Implementation Plan. All verbal comments received have been positive.

**Process and Methods**

**Publicly Available Data Sources**

Publicly accessible databases, reports, and publications by various state and national agencies were extensively searched for the purpose of the CHNA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA – MISSISSIPPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### DATA – MISSISSIPPI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Title (Year)</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi State Department of Health</td>
<td>Mississippi Stroke System-of-Care Plan (2019)</td>
<td>Plan for developing statewide tiered system of stroke care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://msdh.ms.gov/msdhsite/_static/resources/1670.pdf">http://msdh.ms.gov/msdhsite/_static/resources/1670.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi State Department of Health- Trauma Care System</td>
<td>Fact Sheets (2018)</td>
<td>The only functioning mandatory Trauma System in the country nationally recognized as a model Trauma System; the registry includes injury data captured by 92 facilities in the state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://msdh.ms.gov/msdhsite/_static/resources/6401.pdf">http://msdh.ms.gov/msdhsite/_static/resources/6401.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of State Institutions of Higher Learning</td>
<td>Mississippi Population Projections 2015, 2020, and 2025</td>
<td>Projections of an increase in Mississippi population by county, sex and race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.mississippi.edu/urc/downloads/PopProjections/PopulationProjections.pdf">http://www.mississippi.edu/urc/downloads/PopProjections/PopulationProjections.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DATA - NATIONAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Title (Year)</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US Census Bureau</td>
<td>American FactFinder (2017)</td>
<td>Summary of demographic and socio-economic statistics for the state of Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml">https://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dignity Health</td>
<td>Community Need Index-Interactive web application (2018)</td>
<td>Community Need Index scores the severity of health disparity for every zip code in the US and demonstrates the link between health need, access to care, and preventable hospitalizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.cni.chw-interactive.org/">www.cni.chw-interactive.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/">www.cdc.gov/brfss/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
<td>Outpatient Rehabilitation Among Stroke Survivors - -- 21 States and the District of Columbia, 2018</td>
<td>Report from 21 States, including Mississippi, indicates lower than expected utilization of outpatient rehabilitation services among stroke survivors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/67/wr/mm6720a2.htm">https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/67/wr/mm6720a2.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Systems Knowledge Translation Center</td>
<td>Multiple documents</td>
<td>Summarizes research, identifies health information needs, and develops information resources related to traumatic brain injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.msktc.org/tbi">http://www.msktc.org/tbi</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**DATA - NATIONAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.nscisc.uab.edu/Public/Facts%202016.pdf">https://www.nscisc.uab.edu/Public/Facts%202016.pdf</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Input from Employees**

The following MRC employees participated in CHNA as the Steering Committee members or panelists in a focus group (listed in alphabetical order).

**MRC PARTICIPANTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEERING COMMITTEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark A. Adams, President &amp; CEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arash Sepehri, MA, Navigator Program Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Armstrong, Chief Financial Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobrivoje S. Stokic, MD, DSc, Director of Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Blount, Executive Director, Wilson Research Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Voynik, Vice President of Legal Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Boone, Vice President, Business Development and Community Relations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMPLOYEE PANELISTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Martha Davis, Financial Assistance Counselor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgett Pelts, Occupational Therapist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misty Ferguson, Occupational Therapist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Villacorta, MD, Rehabilitation Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanda Griggs, Social Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Word, CPO, FAAOP, Prosthetist/Orthotist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacob Long, Physical Therapist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Input from Community Representatives**

**Methods**

Representatives of the community and others with knowledge of challenges/gaps/barriers experienced by those we serve were identified for interviews through internal and external sources. The response rate was 100%. Some interviews were conducted in-person, others telephonically. As with the focus group, thematic content analysis was used to identify and cluster common themes.
**Sources**

Information about the representatives interviewed is presented in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name/Degree</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Expertise/Leadership Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/16/19</td>
<td>Dr. Lei Zhang, PHd, MBA&lt;sup&gt;1,2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Director, Dept. of Health Data and Research</td>
<td>Mississippi State Department of Health (MSDH)</td>
<td>20+ years public health and education experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/16/19</td>
<td>Shawna Brown&lt;sup&gt;2,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Director, Rehabilitation</td>
<td>American Hospital Association</td>
<td>35+ years of association/public health experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/09/13</td>
<td>Rochelle Archuletta, MHA, MBA&lt;sup&gt;2,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Director, Policy</td>
<td>American Hospital Association</td>
<td>30+ years of association/public health experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/09/13</td>
<td>Carolyn Zollar, JD&lt;sup&gt;1,2,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Senior Policy Counsel</td>
<td>American Medical Rehabilitation Providers Assoc.</td>
<td>30+ years of association/public health legal/policy experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/20/19</td>
<td>Johnny McGinn&lt;sup&gt;1,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Director, Client Assistance Program</td>
<td>Mississippi Society for Disabilities</td>
<td>20+ years of experience in health care advocacy and client assistance for persons with disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/29/19</td>
<td>Janice Sherman, MPA&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>Community Health Center Association of Mississippi</td>
<td>Membership organization comprised of 21 Community Health Centers providing care to over 280,000 patients within 187 sites throughout the state’s underserved communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/19</td>
<td>Mike Blackburn&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Member, Board of Directors</td>
<td>Mississippi Paralysis Association</td>
<td>Community member living with a disabling illness/injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/19</td>
<td>Jerome Peyton&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Member, Board of Directors</td>
<td>Mississippi Paralysis Association</td>
<td>Community member living with a disabling illness/injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/19</td>
<td>Lynard Ealy&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Member, Board of Directors</td>
<td>Mississippi Paralysis Association</td>
<td>Community member living with a disabling illness/injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/19</td>
<td>Adrian Benson&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Member, Board of Directors</td>
<td>Mississippi Paralysis Association</td>
<td>Community member living with a disabling illness/injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/19</td>
<td>Shelly Dykman&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Member, Board of Directors</td>
<td>Mississippi Paralysis Association</td>
<td>Community member living with a disabling illness/injury</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Steering Committee developed criteria for identifying community health needs, as indicated below, and used these criteria to define community health care needs that will be addressed in the 2020-2022 Implementation Plan.

Process and criteria for prioritizing health needs
The process analogous to “multi-voting technique” was chosen for prioritizing community health care needs. This was done through a series of meetings during which each round of votes was followed by narrowing of the priority list. Before voting, the Steering Committee agreed upon the following guiding principles:

1. Define a “health care need”: We adopted the definition of health care need as a “capacity to improve health”.1 This was understood to include the capacity (ability) of a community to improve health and the capacity of providers to overcome identified deficiencies given the available evidence and resources. Equal weight was given to each capacity. If both were scored low, the presumed “need” was considered a “desire” and received a lower priority. It was recognized, however, that the “need” and “desire” represent ends of the spectrum and that efforts are warranted toward changing circumstances that would potentially elevate “desire” to a “need”.

2. Give priority to input from community representatives over the results of desk research: Given the paucity of research on health care needs of the community we serve, it was considered that themes which emerged from interviews and focus groups are most relevant for addressing immediate health care needs. At the same time, the potential bias of the participants was acknowledged as a shortcoming.

3. Give priority to the needs with potential to create partnerships and eliminate redundancies: Community health care needs unlikely can be met by a single organization. Therefore, higher priority is given to those needs that can be met through collaboration with another public or private entity for which the opportunity to create a partnership exists.

4. Give priority to the needs with measurable performance indicators, including both “outputs” and “outcomes”: Outputs relate to activities or "what was done and whom we reached,"

---

1Mandatory: Representative of federal/tribal/regional/state/local health departments/agencies with current data/information relevant to the needs of the community
2Mandatory: Person with special knowledge/expertise in public health (provide name, title, affiliation, a brief description of special knowledge/expertise)
3Mandatory: “Leaders/Representatives”/member of medically underserved, low-income, minority populations, and populations with chronic disease needs
4Optional: Consumer advocates; nonprofit organizations; academic experts; local government officials; community-based organizations; health care providers (with focus on low-income persons, minority groups, or those with chronic disease needs); private businesses; and health insurance and managed care organizations.

---

whereas outcomes refer to "what difference did it make". Both are justified because the activity must be delivered as intended before the expected outcomes can occur. It is recognized that early performance indicators will mainly be limited to outputs before outcomes can be reliably assessed.

5. **Give higher priority to the needs where significance of problem has about the same weight as likelihood of implementing a solution:** Based on the items in the table below, both significance of problem and solution implementation were scored low, medium, or high. Lower priority was given to needs with discrepant scores (low-high or high-low) in favor of the needs scored above low and equal (e.g., medium-medium, high-high).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority of Problem</th>
<th>Solution for Problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➤ Impact of problem</td>
<td>➤ Expertise to implement solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Urgency of solving problem</td>
<td>➤ Effectiveness of solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Availability of solutions</td>
<td>➤ Potential impact on health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Availability of resources to solve problem</td>
<td>➤ Ease of implementation/maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ Cost and/or return on investment</td>
<td>➤ Potential negative consequences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments received from participants during this community needs assessment are summarized on the following two pages.
“Access to MRC for caregivers could be improved; many caregivers have disabilities.”

“Caregiver support and environment is very challenging here.”

“Phone disconnected because they didn’t pay their phone bill.”

“Socioeconomic issues in this area are intense.”

“Transportation remains a big issue.”

“Insurance limits who comes in.”

“[We need] longer length of stay in inpatient phase.”

“Would patients benefit from more time? In some cases, yes.”

“The insurance mindset now is ‘If you’re sick enough to be in an IRF, you don’t have any business going out into the community [outings] and we are missing an opportunity we used to have until pretty recently.’”

“Of 19 Medicaid patients last year needing prosthetic legs, we outfitted all with what they needed. Contiguous states Medicaid will cover those legs but not here.”

“We need to educate better acute care therapists who’s an appropriate candidate for inpatient rehab, and what is realistic. We need more team conferences with clinicians at hospitals who send patients here.”

“Best outcome in many cases would be acute care, SNF, IRF, home. Many patients need to go there first because they’re just not ready for an IRF.”

“Information overload - patients receive packets and flyers from 2-3 different departments.”

“We had to cut education…content by probably a third of what used to be offered here.”

“They call back and ask questions about things that we thought we covered pretty well when they were here inpatients, but it didn’t sink in.”

“Sex for instance, they don’t want to hear about sex while they’re in this hospital, but they’re very interested in that subject once they’re home.”

“What do we offer in assistive device education?”

“Need to help families be more realistic about outcomes…sometimes unrealistic expectations can affect outcomes negatively.”

“When we ask caregivers ‘can you be there?’ for the patient, do they really understand the level of assistance people require.”
CHNA & IP
(FY 2020-2022)

Community Health Care Needs Identified During Interviews (non-MRC Panelists)

- More recreational therapy, more quality of life programming.
- More recreational therapy; there’s only one GB-MRC needs more recreational therapy staff to offer more opportunities.
- We would love to do events with MRC for educational or recreational purposes and need help with things like promotion.
- Assistance getting people back to work or in school.
- Employment [one of the two] biggest unmet needs/gaps in the population we serve.
- Get patients out more in real world settings to show them what they can do things, such as shopping and other forms of community integration.
- Many patients in need seem to have the most difficulty finding services to assist them. Many that fall in this gap are lower educated, low income, level which compounds the problem.
- A large population of Mississippi residents lives in rural communities that makes service provision much more difficult. Transportation and provider access especially challenging.
- Inadequate housing for this [disadvantaged] population also a concern.
- Need more exposures to others with similar disabilities who’ve done well so that they can see what’s possible.
- I’d like for MRC to re-engage the ‘Spirit Team’ like they used to have for peer support.
- Provide more transition-to-home bridge supplies like MPA and LWE does.
- Response time for community services getting longer.
- About 2,000 people on a waiting list for Independent Living waiver services.
- Not saying MRC doctors have to be the ones doing these checkups, but it would be great if MRC could educate and follow up with people to make sure they understand how important it is.
- Medicare Advantage Plans are growing across the nation but require pre-cert and medical director review, which takes way too long to process; large percentage of patients are being denied access to an inpatient rehab.
- Managed Care (commercial) and Advantage Plans (Medicare) are sending patients to skilled nursing facilities often ignoring and contradicting the doctors involved who want their patient to go to an inpatient rehab.
- Insurers, driven by financial restraints, are driving patients toward skilled nursing facilities, rather than making decisions based on the most appropriate level of care, which in many cases is an inpatient rehab facility. Re-hospitalizations and other problems result. This is something we hear every day and we (AHA) must help insurers understand the negative impact.
- Regulatory (payment) issues are hurting inpatient rehab facilities that are members of AHA. The facilities are taking sicker, more complex patients and not being reimbursed fairly in many cases.
- Facilities are finding bundled payments as a financial hardship. CMS has seen no savings and the quality has not improved with bundling, but are continuing to look for ways to expand the programs.
- Audit contractors are taking tremendous time and money to fight, causing a big distraction for providers. Denials are often arbitrary, and CMS will not admit this or reign in the contractors.
- Patients from all levels of care are often discharged back to home without all the services, support, and education they need to make that transition.
- Facilities are too quick in discharging the patients from services they are receiving. This includes length of stay for inpatient rehab.
- Patients and families lack knowledge of the difference in post-acute levels of care. They do not know to ask or challenge a decision when an inappropriate level of care is arranged. Part of this problem is that the skilled facilities say that they are “a rehab facility” and the patient or family doesn’t understand the difference. Our challenge is to somehow provide better education to the community.
- Patients and families are not able to process all the information during their hospitalization; give them some time to adjust and bring them back to learn what they need to know.
- You don’t know what you don’t know when you’re a patient; you have to get home before reality sets in and that’s when you begin to be open about education and start to have questions and need answers.
- More education on resources available to patients, teach them to be advocates for themselves.
- Consider a new resource guide that’s user-friendly.
- Transportation [one of the two] biggest unmet need/gaps in the population we serve.
- With follow-up clinic, transportation seems to be a big barrier.
Priority Areas Identified

In prioritizing health care needs, members of the Steering Committee were guided by the above stated criteria. The following key priority areas were selected:

1. Improve Access to Comprehensive Rehabilitation
2. Educate & Train Rehabilitation Practitioners in the Community
3. Monitor Outcomes & Build Relationships along the Continuum of Care

Facilities/Resources Available to Meet the Needs

MRC will utilize the existing facilities and resources to address the selected priority areas. The activities will mainly be provided by the clinical, research, education, process improvement and volunteer personnel. The expertise and interest will be matched to the designated activities in each priority area. MRC will utilize the existing facilities at different locations for conducting these activities, including the main hospital and several outpatient facilities.

As appropriate, MRC plans to partner with public and private organizations and agencies to address the key priority areas, including, but not limited to the University of Mississippi Medical Center, State Department of Health, LIFE of MS, Mississippi Paralysis Association, Mississippi Primary Health Care Association, and appropriate municipal departments.

Implementation Plan (FY 2020-2022)

Approach

We modified the ASPIRE model for Community Health Needs Assessment and development of Implementation Plan. The steps are illustrated below.

![ASPIRE Diagram](attachment:image.png)
Implementation Strategy

We will create a plan for each selected priority, with person(s) responsible for planning and implementation of activities described under the respective priorities. Those involved will be selected based on their professional background and interests with respect to specific program activities. The Steering Committee will oversee and coordinate activities and review progress. The Committee will report to the Executive Committee periodically and develop annual reports for the MRC Board of Trustees.

Anticipated Impact

We anticipate that the selected priorities will result in short- and long-term community health benefits. The short-term benefits will be reflected in improved access to inpatient and outpatient rehabilitation care, as well as access to specialized services; focused efforts to improve continuing education for rehabilitation professionals; improved coordination/collaboration with referring acute-care providers and community-based organizations; and advocacy efforts related to ensuring the most appropriate level of care for those we serve. This, in turn, is expected to result in long-term community health benefits, such as improved day-to-day disease management, prevention of secondary complications, improved overall well-being, and thereby better quality of life.

Planned Collaboration

We plan to partner with different state and non-profit agencies and organization, as appropriate for each selected priority. The prospective partners include, but are not limited to, Mississippi State Department of Health, Community Health Center Association of Mississippi, University of Mississippi Medical Center and other referring hospitals, Living Independence for Everyone (LIFE) of Mississippi, Mississippi Paralysis Association, Metro Area Community Empowerment and other organizations.

Implementation Plan Goals within Each Key Priority Area

1. Improve Access to Comprehensive Rehabilitation
   - Identify and reduce barriers to admission to inpatient rehab
   - Broaden resources to help disabled persons and caregivers access self-care education and support
   - Increase use of advanced rehabilitation technologies

2. Educate & Train Rehabilitation Practitioners in the Community
   - Define target areas for continuing education
   - Provide clinicians with opportunities to work in dual research/clinical roles
   - Deliver inservices and expanded outreach efforts to referring hospitals

3. Monitor Outcomes & Build Relationships along the Continuum of Care
   - Examine outcomes at 3 months after inpatient discharge
   - Strengthen community relationships and coordination of post-discharge services
   - Increase advocacy efforts related to different levels of post-acute care
Health Needs Not Addressed

Based on the adopted guiding principles and the criteria for prioritizing identified health care needs, we chose not to address other needs that emerged out of this assessment process given the scope of the problem and the likelihood our solutions would not have a true and lasting impact. Some of the needs not addressed fall under the scope of activities of other state and non-governmental agencies, such as Independent Living and Vocational Rehabilitation under Mississippi Department of Rehabilitation Services, LIFE of MS, and the Mississippi Paralysis Association.

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all participants who provided input for this assessment. We look forward to addressing the identified needs.